

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53623 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-226 Year-2024 Thana- DULHIN BAZAR District- Patna

Sumit Kumar @ Rahul S/o Kaushal Kishore Singh @ Kaushal Singh R/o
Village and P.O.- Tariyani Dumara, P. S.- Belsand, District- Sitamarhi, at
present resident of Anand Bazar, P.S. Danapur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Praveen Prabhakar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Nirmala Kumari, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 11-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with S.T. No.
885 of 2025 arising out of Dulhin Bazar P.S. Case No. 226 of
2024 instituted for the offences under Section 309(4) of the
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that four unknown
persons, one of them armed with weapon and wearing helmet
and mask, barged into the cash cabin of Punjab National Bank,
Koraiya Sultanpur. They looted ₹20,48,000/- in cash and fled
from the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.



Petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The name of the petitioner transpired in this case on the basis of his self-confessional statement of this petitioner. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner has got no concern with the looted amount. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 09.08.2024 and has fifteen criminal antecedents. The co-accused person has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 09.05.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 3483 of 2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, claim based on parity as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail, ***after framing of charge, if not already framed***, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with S.T. No. 885 of 2025 arising out of Dulhin Bazar P.S. Case No. 226 of 2024,



subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(III) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

