

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55898 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-67 Year-2025 Thana- BAHERI District- Darbhanga

=====

Manoj Singh @ Manoj Kumar Singh S/O Mithalesh Singh R/O Village-
Thathapur, P.S.-Baheri, District-Darbhangha

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Girish Chandra Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Asha Kumari, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 27-08-2025 Heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner and

Learned APP for the State.

2. The present criminal miscellaneous application has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as ‘the BNSS, 2023’) for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner who apprehends arrest in connection with Baheri P.S. Case No.67 of 2025, lodged on 23.02.2025, under Sections 126(2)/115(2)/118(1) /110 /117 / 303(2)/352/352(1)/3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023, pending in the Court of A.C.J.M. VII, Darbhanga.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against two named accused persons including the present petitioner and



one unknown. Allegation against the petitioner is that he has assaulted by Tengari on the informant's hand due to which injury has been caused and thereafter allegation against the other accused persons that thereafter they assaulted repeatedly by lathi due to which left hand was broken. Allegation of snatching Rs.1500/- is also there in the FIR.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He further submits that antecedent of the petitioner is clean. Counsel submits that it is true that allegation of injury caused on the head is there, but the said injury is not caused by sharp cutting rather it has been caused by hard blunt substances, which does not support the story of the prosecution. He submits that petitioner is ready to fulfill all the conditions whatsoever shall be imposed upon him.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that in the rejection order it has been categorically indicated that there are two injuries caused on the head which are in the upper side and multiple head reason.

6. In the present facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Hence,



the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner is hereby refused.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Mkr./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

