

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2259 of 2023**

**In**  
**Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.759 of 2023**

=====

Pushpa Singh W/o Late Kunwar Vijay Singh R/o- Kunwar Singh Kothi  
(Gadhpar, Jagdishpur), Vill. and P.O. and P.S.- Jagdishpur, Dist.- Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Home, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Chaitanya Prasad, the Additional Chief Secretary, Home, Govt. of Bihar, Patel Bhawan, Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Patna.
3. Sri R.S. Bhatti, the Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. Sri Naveen Chandra Jha, the Deputy Inspector General, Shahabad Range, Dihri on sone, Rohtas.
5. Sri Pramod Kumar Yadav, the Superintendent of Police, Bhojpur, Ara.
6. Sri Rajeev Chandra Singh, the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Jagdishpur, Bhojpur, Ara.
7. Sri Rajkishor Yadav, the S.H.O., Jagdishpur, Police Station, Bhojpur, Ara.
8. Sandip Kumar, (Constable No. 715), Son of Ravindra Nath Resident of Village- Nandan, P.S.- Dumraon, Dist.- Buxar.
9. Sunil Kumar Paswan, (Constable No. 753), Son of Late Mukha Paswan Resident of Sone Dehra, P.S.- Shiv Sagar, District- Rohtas.
10. Amit Kumar, (Constable No. 579), Son of Rama Nand Ram, Resident of Siswa, P.S.- Karamchat, District- Kaimur, Bhabhua.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                            |                               |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s :     | Ms. Tulika Singh, Advocate    |
| For the Opposite Party/s : | Md. Nasrul Hoda Khan (SC-1)   |
|                            | Ms. Babita Kumari, AC to SC-1 |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

5      26-09-2025                      Heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner and  
  
Learned Counsel for the State.

2. Learned Counsel for the State submits that he has  
  
filed supplementary show-cause. Counsel submits that in the



supplementary show-cause, vide Annexure-A the entire investigation and progress has been intimated and investigation has been completed.

3. In the light of the report of the investigation, this Court is not inclined to proceed further in the contempt. Hence, the contempt is dropped. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to challenge the report by way of filing the protest petition before the concerned Judicial Magistrate.

4. Accordingly, the M.J.C. application stands dismissed.

**(Dr. Anshuman, J)**

Mkr./-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

