

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54749 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-236 Year-2024 Thana- BHAGWANPUR District- Vaishali

1. Satrudhan Ram @ Shatrudhan Ram S/o- Badri Ram Village- Asoi Sarangi PS-Bhawganpur District-Vaishali
2. Rina Devi W/o- Satrudhan Ram Village- Asoi Sarangi PS-Bhawganpur District-Vaishali
3. Anish Ram S/o- Satrudhan Ram Village- Asoi Sarangi PS-Bhawganpur District-Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms.Sudha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Bhagwanpur P.S. Case No. 236 of 2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 80(2), 238 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case being father-in-law, mother-in-law and brother-in-law of the deceased. It is next submitted that the informant alleges that her daughter was married to the son of petitioner nos. 1 and 2 and after



marriage, she was tortured for dowry and ultimately she was killed and the body was cremated.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that informant is not an eye-witness to the occurrence and the entire allegation hinges around suspicion. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that no specific allegation of demand of dowry is alleged. It is further submitted that whenever any dispute arises in between the husband and the wife and occurrence of the nature as alleged takes place, the entire family members are implicated in a mechanical manner.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, Shri Chandra Bhushan Prasad, opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners and submits that informant may not be an eye-witness to the occurrence but what is not disputed rather stands admitted is that daughter of the informant, who was married to Manish, the son of petitioner Nos. 1 and 2, died within seven years of marriage. It is also submitted that the dead body was not sent for post mortem rather was cremated. It is next submitted that it is not possible for the husband to cremate the dead body singly. It is further submitted that had the dead body been sent for post mortem at least the cause of death could have been ascertained,



but in absence of post mortem, it is difficult to ascertain that what was the reason of death. It is next submitted that since the dead body of the deceased was cremated/disposed of that amply demonstrates that the same was done with a view to conceal evidence. It is also submitted that investigation is continuing.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

