

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52360 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-25 Year-2024 Thana- BADDI District- Rohtas

1. Pappu Kumar @ Kamaljit Singh S/o Shesha Mahto @ Sheshnath Singh R/o Majhui, P.O.- Raipur Chor, PS- Baddi (Sheosagar), Distt.- Rohtas
2. Kumar Mahto @ Rajkumar Singh S/o Shambhu Mahto @ Suryanath Singh R/o Majhui, P.O.- Raipur Chor, PS- Baddi (Sheosagar), Distt.- Rohtas
3. Sher Bahadur Mahto @ Sher Bahadur Singh S/o Late Vimal Mahto @ Vimal Singh R/o Majhui, P.O.- Raipur Chor, PS- Baddi (Sheosagar), Distt.- Rohtas
4. Sheobhajan Mahto S/o Late Ramayan Mahto R/o Majhui, P.O.- Raipur Chor, PS- Baddi (Sheosagar), Distt.- Rohtas
5. Sanjay Kumar @ Sanjay Munda S/o Santosh Mahto @ Santosh Singh R/o Majhui, P.O.- Raipur Chor, PS- Baddi (Sheosagar), Distt.- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Om Prakash Singh, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar Pandey, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 10-12-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection
with Baddi P.S. Case No. 25 of 2024 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190 and 109 of BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the F.I.R., all the
accused persons, total 10-15 in numbers, including the
petitioners armed with lethal weapons with an intention to kill
the informant assaulted the informant's side causing injury. The



cause of incidence is that while both the parties were watching dance programme, they turned violent and entered into fierce fight, during which both the sides sustained injury.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the case due to previous enmity. There is case and counter case between the parties. The allegation levelled against the petitioners is not specific rather general and omnibus.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the nature of allegation made against the petitioners to be general and omnibus, the petitioners, above named, are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District Court where the case is pending in connection with Baddi P.S. Case No. 25 of 2024, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C / 482(2) BNSS.

7. The District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners



are involved in some other cases as what has been stated in
Para-3 of the bail petition, this order will automatically lose its
force.

8. The bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

mantreshwar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

