

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55179 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-36 Year-2025 Thana- VIGILANCE District- Patna

=====

Girish Tiwary @ Girish Tiwari S/O Late Sudama Tiwary Resident of
Mohalla- Daulatganj, Mirchaiya Tola, P.S- Bhagwan Bazar, District- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Vigilance Invesitgating Bureau, Patna. Bihar
2. The Vigilance Investigating Bureau Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anjani Parashar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, APP
For the Vigilance (O.P. No.2)	:	Mr. Arvind Kumar, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 27-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the O.P. No.2 and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Vigilance P.S. Case No. 36 of 2025 dated 09.06.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Section 7(a) of the Prevention of Corruption Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, when the informant's father was filling soil in his land, in the meantime, the co-accused objected and claimed his possession on the said land. Thereafter, he went to the closest police station for filing FIR from where he was sent to the Sub-Division Office. It is further



alleged that the petitioner who is the Revenue employee/karamchari demanded Rs. 35,000/- as bribe for rectifying the said disputed land issue.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. The charge-sheet has been submitted against the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody in this case since 09.06.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the O.P. No.2 have vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Muzaffarpur in connection with Vigilance P.S. Case No. 36 of 2025, with a condition:-

(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically



present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner are liable to be cancelled.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

