

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52758 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-343 Year-2024 Thana- MADHUBAN District- East Champaran

Ramesh Singh, S/o Late Babulal Singh, Resident of village- Gopalpur, PS-
Madhuban, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shanti Bhushan Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Yogendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 11-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Madhuban P.S. Case No. 343 of 2024 dated 14.08.2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(2), 109, 76, 352, 351(2), 351(3) and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per allegation, the petitioner and four other co-accused entered into the house of the informant and started assaulting him. As per further allegation, co-accused Aniket Singh @ Golu assaulted the victim by farsa on his head, whereas the petitioner and Ramchandra Singh are alleged to have assaulted by rod on the body of the victim.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this



case. He further submits that as per the injury report, there is simple injury on the head of the victim. He further submits that similarly situated co-accused person has already been granted anticipatory bail by a coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 08.05.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 87823 of 2024.

5. He further submits that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 11.04.2025.

6. It has also been stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has one criminal antecedent.

7. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one.

8. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioner for bail.

9. Considering the fact that similarly situated co-accused has already been enlarged on anticipatory bail, **this application is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned concerned Court below in connection with Madhuban P.S. Case No. 343 of 2024 on the following conditions:



(i) The petitioner will make himself available for interrogation by a police officer/court as and when required.

(ii) The petitioner will undertake that investigation/trial will not get hampered on account of his absence or non-cooperation. He must be available to the police or the court whenever his presence is required.

(iii) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iv) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedents other than the disclosed one, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(v) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

shoaib/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

