

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55322 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-43 Year-2025 Thana- KARPURIGRAM District- Samastipur

Prem Chandra Kumar @ Raghav @ Premchand Kumar Raghav S/o Harilal
Paswan R/o Village - Dhurlakh, P.S - Mufassil, District- Samastipur
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Chandra Sen Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

3 15-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. A prayer for bail has been made on behalf of the petitioner in connection with Karpurigram P.S. Case No.43 of 2025 registered for the offence under section 309(4) of B.N.S and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The allegation in the F.I.R is that while the informant, who is an employee of Credit Axis Gramin Ltd. Company, was going to deposit cash on his motorcycle, two miscreants looted the cash, a tab etc.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that F.I.R was registered against unknown and name of the petitioner has transpired during course of investigation on the confessional statement of co-accused Golu Kumar made before police. Although recovery of Rs.40,000/- and a mobile phone is said to



have been made from his house but it has been submitted that cash or mobile phone have no connection with the present offence. It is further submitted that petitioner is a young boy aged about 23 years and he is in custody since 30.04.2025. The petitioner undertakes to co-operate in the case/trial.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State on the ground that petitioner has one criminal antecedent. In response, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is on bail in the said case.

6. Taking into consideration the fact that F.I.R was lodged against unknown and name of the petitioner has transpired during course of investigation on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Golu Kumar which has no evidentiary value in the eye of law coupled with the fact that petitioner is a young boy and he is in custody since 30.04.2025, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail in connection with Karpurigram P.S. Case No.43 of 2025 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned concerned Court where the case is pending/Successor Court, subject to the following conditions:-

(I) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be the



family member/close relative of the petitioner.

(II) He shall co-operate in the trial and would make himself available on each and every date fixed by the trial Court and would co-operate in getting the charges framed and in case of non-appearance on any date without any sufficient reason, the learned trial Court is liberty to cancel the bail bonds.

(III) The learned trial Court would also be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds if it has been found that the petitioner is involved in any other case of similar nature.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Harsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

