

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52525 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-391 Year-2024 Thana- DIDARGANJ District- Patna

Manish Singh S/o Shree Bhagavan Prasad @ Bhagwan Ray R/o Village-
Mohiuddinpur, P.S.- Fatuha, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shyam Kumar Chaudhary, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Humayou Ahmad Khan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 12-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 125, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S and Sections 25(1-B)(a) and 26 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant has alleged that the named accused persons, including the petitioner, came there armed with a country-made pistol and started abusing and throwing bricks and it is further alleged that co-accused Ravindra Singh was caught along with the country-made pistol and was locked in the room and during scuffle one Kaushal Kumar was injured. It is further alleged that the informant then handed over the said accused, Ravindra Singh to the police along with a country-made pistol.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case on account of rivalry between the family of the informant and co-accused Krishna Prasad and the said Krishna Prasad had lodged an FIR against the informant and others bearing Didarganj P.S. Case No. 23 of 2023. Learned counsel has next submitted that even taking the allegations in the FIR into account, there is no specific overt act alleged against the petitioner. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioner has antecedent of one criminal case.

5. Heard learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, in the event of his arrest or surrender within four weeks, the above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail in connection Didarganj P.S. Case No. 391 of 2024 on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below/court concerned subject to the conditions :

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his close relative.

(ii) The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.



(iii). In case of absence on two consecutive dates, or in violation of the terms of the bail and if the prosecution is found involvement of the petitioner in similar nature of offence, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be canceled by the Court concerned.

(iv) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. It is made clear that the observations, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

Prakash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

