

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56509 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-244 Year-2024 Thana- EAST CHAMPARAN COMPLAINT
District- East Champaran

=====

Mukesh Kumar @ Mukesh Kumar Yadav, S/O Vinod Yadav, R/O Vill.-
Mathlohiyar, P.s.- Harsidhi, District- East Champran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Antima Devi, W/O Mukesh Kumar, D/O Rajendra Yadav, R/O Vill. at
present Chhapkahiya, P.S.- Govindganj, Dist.- East Champaran

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Sucheta Yadav, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 03-12-2025 Heard learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State

as well as learned Advocate for the opposite party no. 2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Trial No. 1565 of 2025 arising out of Complaint Case No.
C244 of 2024 under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code.

3. After some argument learned Advocate for the
informant submits that by the order impugned dated 06.06.2025
passed by the learned Session Judge, East Champaran, Motihari,
the Court having taken notice of the fact that the case is
punishable under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code,
accordingly disposed off the same in the light of the decisions



rendered by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of *Arnesh Kumar v. State of Bihar [(2014) 8 SCC 273]* and *Satyendra Kumar Antil v. Central Bureau of Investigation & Anr. [S.L.P. (Cri) No.5191 of 2021]*. However, despite the liberty accorded to the petitioner and the matter having been disposed off in the light of the aforementioned case, the petitioner preferred the present anticipatory bail.

4. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of the fact that the petitioner has already been given a liberty to approach before the jurisdictional court in the light of the decision aforementioned, this Court does not find any reason or occasion to entertain the present application. In view thereof, the present bail application stands disposed off with a liberty to the petitioner to approach before the jurisdictional court in the light of the decisions afore-noted.

(Harish Kumar, J)

supratim/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

