

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53245 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-84 Year-2025 Thana- MOHAMMADPUR District- Gopalganj

KARAN SINGH S/o- Baban Singh Resident of village- Safiyabad Ps-
Baikunthpur District- Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pankaj Kumar Dubey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 11-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Mahammadpur P.S. Case No. 84 of 2025 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 310(4), 310(5) and 317(5) of
B.N.S., 2023 read with Sections 25(1-B), (1)a, 26 and 35 of the
Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, informant got secret
information that 4 to 5 miscreants were sitting in a white
coloured Alto Car which is parked near the house of Sanjay
Pandey and they were planning to commit a crime. It is alleged
that one country made pistol and two live cartridges were
recovered from the possession of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence as alleged in the FIR. He further submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case merely on the basis of alleged recovery and petitioner has denied that the alleged recovery has been made from his possession. He further submits that petitioner is merely a passer-by and he has no connection either with the ceased car or with the miscreants sitting in the alleged car. Apart from that, petitioner is in custody since 19.05.2025 and he bears no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel orally submits that charge sheet has been submitted in the case and there is no likelihood of tampering with the prosecution evidence.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody, keeping in view clean antecedent of petitioner, argument advanced on behalf of both sides and also taking into consideration the material available on record, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M, Gopalganj in connection with Mahammadpur P.S. Case No. 84



of 2025, subject to following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors shall be either father or mother or sister or brother or wife or the person who has sworn the affidavit in bail application.

(ii) Petitioner will co-operate in trial and will remain present on all dates and absence for two consecutive dates without appropriate permission, would be a ground for cancellation of bail by the learned Trial court itself.

(iii) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offences in future, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(v) Petitioner shall submit an undertaking before the learned trial court that he bears no criminal antecedents and if the said undertaking is found incorrect by the learned trial court, his bail bond shall not be accepted.

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

alok/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

