

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54303 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-947 Year-2024 Thana- GAYA MUFASIL District- Gaya

Santosh Singh S/o Vinodh Singh Resident of Mohalla- Sidhartha Colony, PS-
Muffasil, Distt.- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The District Mining Officer, Gaya Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Md. Ataul Haque
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Renuka Ratnakar, Advocate
For OP No. 2 :	Mr. Naresh Dikshit, Advocate
	Ms. Shruti Singh, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 19-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Gaya
Muffasil P.S. Case No. 947 of 2024 instituted for the offences
under Sections 190, 191(2), 191(3), 109, 303(2), 317(2), 317(4),
317(5), 111, 125, 132, 121(1), 121(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that on 24.10.2024,
during a raid against illegal sand extraction in the Falgu River,
police apprehended six accused with 21 sand-laden tractors and
one motorcycle whereas some accused persons succeeded in
fleeing away from the spot after assaulting and firing upon the



police party.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged against the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner is being dragged in this merely because he is the owner of one of the seized Tractors. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that petitioner has deposited a challan of Rs. 1,51,391/-. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 25.04.2025 and has one criminal antecedent. The co-accused persons have already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 19.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 7055 of 2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner whereas learned counsel appearing for the O.P. No. 2 has not raised any objection with regard to the deposition of challan by the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.



7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Gaya Muffasil P.S. Case No. 947 of 2024.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

