

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1864 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-0 Thana- District- Nalanda

=====

Veer Mani Kumar @ Veermani Yadav @ Veeru Yadav @ Veeran Yadav @
Veer Mani Son of Baleshwar Prasad Resident of Village- Nakatpura P.S.-
Bihar, District- Nalanda, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, The Principal Secretary, Home Department, Government of Bihar, Patna Bihar
2. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Nalanda Bihar
3. The Superintendent of Police, Nalanda Bihar
4. The Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Bihar Sharif, Nalanda Bihar
5. The SHO, Bihar Sharif, Nalanda Bihar
6. The Officer-in-Charge, Hilsa Police Station, District- Nalanda Bihar

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Kishor Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dhurjati Kr. Prasad, GP-14

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD)

5 01-09-2025 Taking note of the conduct of the petitioner in neither putting his appearance at Bihar Sharif Police Station or at Hilsa Police Station, this Court is of the considered opinion that he is not a *bona fide* petitioner.

2. In this regard, this Court would refer its earlier order dated 31.07.2025 by which the petitioner was granted an interim order allowing him to mark his presence on every Monday in Bihar Sharif Police Station instead of Hilsa Police



Station. At this stage, this Court has been informed that the petitioner is an accused in at least four cases registered with the Bihar Police Station and out of these four cases, he has got bail in three cases but in one of the cases, he is not on bail, therefore, he chose not to put his appearance in the Bihar Police Station.

3. Since the petitioner has not approached this Court with clean hands, we would decline to entertain this writ application in our extraordinary writ jurisdiction.

4. This writ petition is dismissed.

5. Respondents may take consequential action if the petitioner does not comply with the order of the District Magistrate.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

Rishi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

