

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53696 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-941 Year-2024 Thana- WAJIRGANJ District- Gaya

Shajid Ansari @ Sajid Ansari S/o Ajj Ansari Resident of Village- Barhoriya,
PO- Dumari Via Tarwan, P.S. - Fatehpur, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gajendra Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Sen Prasad Singh
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar
Mr. Priya Ranjan
Mr. Nitish Kumar
Mr. Mukesh Kumar
Mr. Chandni Kumari
Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad (A.P.P.)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 3 07-10-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in Wazirganj P. S. Case No.941 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126, 308(2), 308(3), 352, 351(2), 61(2) of the B.N.S.
3. The learned A.P.P. submits that in compliance of the order dated 20.08.2025, a counter-affidavit is being filed duly sworn by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Gaya. The learned A.P.P. next submits that during the course of investigation, Section 111 of B.N.S. was added which carries



punishment of more than seven years, hence notice under Section 35 BNSS has not given to the petitioner. It is also submitted that petitioner has been arrested in a previous case and presently is languishing in judicial custody. The learned A.P.P. thus submits that since petitioner is already in judicial custody and Section 111 of B.N.S. has been added in the FIR after investigating which relates to organized crime whether it would be prudent for the Court to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner as the allegation in the FIR is of threatening the informant of his murder on account of his interference in Bala Bigha matter.

4. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner does not dispute the submission of the learned A.P.P that petitioner presently is in judicial custody

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P., the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. The prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

