

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61823 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-779 Year-2024 Thana- GOPALGANJ TOWN District-  
Gopalganj

Munna Kumar @ Munna Yadav, S/o Mahendra Sharma @ Mahendra Yadav  
@ Harendra Yadav, R/o Village - Amwa Dumaria, P.S- Town Gopalganj,  
District - Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**  
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Brajesh Kumar Singh, Advocate  
For the State : Ms. Sharda Kumari, APP  
For the Informant : Ms. Tooba Hera, Advocate  
Md. Sufiyan, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      08-09-2025                      Heard Mr. Brajesh Kumar Singh, learned counsel for  
the petitioner, Ms. Tooba Hera, learned counsel for the  
informant and Ms. Sharda Kumari, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection  
with Gopalganj P.S. Case No. 779 of 2024 registered for the  
offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109  
and 352 of B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has  
assaulted with knife to the brother of the informant, namely,  
Abhishek Sharma on various parts of body.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the  
petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has



committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. From perusal of the injury report which is Annexure-P/3, it is apparent that the victim has received eight incised wounds but the nature of injury is simple. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 27.05.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the application for bail. Learned counsel for the informant has submitted that petitioner has caused eight incised wounds on the person of Abhishek Sharma which shows his intention.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of allegation, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

7. Petitioner is at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after completing one year of incarceration.

**(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)**

lata/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

