

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56278 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-679 Year-2019 Thana- DIGHA District- Patna

Satruhan Rai @ Shatrudhan Kumar @ Satrudhan Kumar son of Siparsan Rai
@ Shiv Prasanna, R/o Village-Ramjee Chak , P.S. Digha, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Akhauri Kamal Kishore Sahay, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyameshwar Dayal, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

6 19-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Digha P.S. Case No.679 of 2019, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 447, 341, 307, 504, 506,34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution, FIR has been lodged against six named accused persons including the present petitioner. The allegation against the present petitioner is that he has made gun shot upon the informant but no injury has been caused to the informant rather informant has been suffered injury by the gun shot of another accused persons specifically named in the F.I.R.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submit that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He further submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and as per the information, police has not initiated the process of Section 82 & 83 of the Cr.P.C. against the petitioner nor he has been declared absconder. Counsel submits that as soon as the petitioner come to know about the pendency of the criminal case against him he has taken shelter of law and started started persuading for bail. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is aged about 36 years and due to enmity his name has been inserted in this case.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that the name of petitioner has been figured by the informant in his reinstatement. Counsel also submits that the story of injury is correct as in the Case Diary in Para-44, the injury report of the hospital where he is alleged to be admitted for his treatment mentioned in the F.I.R., is attached.

6. In the facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail is refused.



7. However, in the event of surrender of the petitioner within four weeks, the prayer for regular bail shall be considered in course of the day without being prejudiced by the order of this Court.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

mdrashid/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

