

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57001 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-358 Year-2024 Thana- BAKHARI District- Begusarai

Sanjeet Mahto @ Sanjeet Kumar @ Sanjeet Kumar Mahto S/o Tunlal Mahto
Resident of Village- Makkhachak, Ward No. 20, P.S.- Bakhari, District-
Begusarai (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashank Chouhan, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 20-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Bakhri P.S. Case No. 358 of 2024 dated 16.09.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/ss115(2), 118(1), 352, 351(2), 308(5) read with Section 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 16.09.2024, when the informant was sitting at Durga Mandir near Bakhri Makkhachak, in the meantime, the petitioner and the co-accused, Rohit Kumar started assaulting him with fist, slap and butt of pistol. The co-accused, Rahul Kumar assaulted him with knife causing injuries on his hand. It is further alleged that the



accused persons demanded extortion of Rs. 1,00,000/- from the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner and the informant are the co-villagers and due to previous enmity, the petitioner has been made accused in this case. There is case and counter case between the parties. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner rather the allegation against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner has not demanded any extortion money from the informant. The petitioner has nine criminal antecedents in which he is on bail in five cases as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 22.04.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court



concerned, Begusarai in connection with Bakhari P.S. Case No. 358 of 2024 with the condition :-

(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bond of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

