

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-272 Year-2024 Thana- MADHAURAH District- Saran

- Appellant/s

Versus

- ... Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.Amrit Abhijat, Adv
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Binay Krishna, Spl. PP
Mr. Avinash Raj, Adv

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 14-10-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the appellants, learned
Spl. P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on
behalf of the informant.

2. This is an appeal under Section 14-A(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as the “SC/ST Act”) against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail vide order dated 29-6-2024 in A.B.P. No. 1904 of 2024 passed by the learned Exclusive Special Judge S.C./S.T. (POA) Act, Saran in connection with Marhaura P.S. Case No. 272 of 2024 registered



for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 379, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code as well as Sections 3(1)(r) (s)(w) of the SC/ST Act.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant, at the outset, submits that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that a *prima facie* offence under the SC/ST Act is made out. It is next submitted that informant alleges that he had gone asking for his remaining wages of Rs. 4,700/- when appellant No. 1 and appellant No. 2 abused the informant by taking his caste name at *Teenmuhani* (crossings) and assaulted him with slippers, shoes, fist and slap and thereafter appellant No. 2 assaulted with broom and appellant No. 1 assaulted with knife on head causing cut injury on his temple and again assaulted with knife on neck causing injury on his shoulder and spat on informant's face and took his cycle of Rs. 2000/-. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the assault took place at a crossing which is a public place and thus the occurrence took place in public view.

4. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellants submits that appellants have been falsely implicated in the instant case and the present case has been instituted at the



behest of a learned advocate Amit Kumar Singh, who is agnate of the appellants, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant submits that the submission is far-fetched.

5. Considering the submission made by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the appellants.

6. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

