

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51704 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-19 Year-2023 Thana- TEGHRHA District- Begusarai

Arjun Rai @ Arjun Kumar Rai @ Arjun Kumar, S/o- Ram Karan Rai, R/o-
Gaura 01, Ward No- 3, P.S.- Teghra, District-Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rahul Singh, Adv. Mr. Amar Kumar Singh, Adv. Mr. Sushan Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 17-10-2025 Heard Mr. Rahul Singh, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with S.T.
Case No. 950/2023, arising out of Teghra P.S. Case No. 19 of
2023, registered for the offence punishable under section 302
read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The main submissions advanced by petitioner's
counsel are that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since
01.04.2023 and in the FIR the informant simply raised suspicion
against this petitioner and made allegations on the basis of
hearsay information, which is very weak evidence and in the
charge-sheet, five witnesses have been shown as prosecution
witnesses, out of them, three non-official witnesses have been



examined, whose deposition's copies have been filed with this petition. It is further submitted that two non-official witnesses (PW-1 & PW-2) went hostile, though third witness (PW-3/informant) has not been declared hostile but her deposition clearly shows that she is not an eyewitness rather a hearsay witness and according to her, the information with regard to the occurrence of murder being committed by this petitioner and co-accused, was informed to her by one, namely, Chandramani @ Pappu Rai but the said person has not been made a witness by the prosecution and also, no step has been taken to produce him as a witness. It is lastly submitted that though all non-official witnesses have been examined but official witnesses are yet to be examined and there may be some delay in the completion of petitioner's trial.

4. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer of the petitioner and submits that against this petitioner, there is serious allegation and he was involved in killing the informant's brother.

5. Considering the above stated facts and mainly the petitioner's custody period and the examination of all non-official witnesses as stated above, so, the release of the petitioner will not affect the prosecution in any manner and



there may be some delay in the completion of the petitioner’s trial as official witnesses of the prosecution are yet to be examined, in my opinion, it is a fit case for bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, let the petitioner named-above be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court concerned in connection with S.T. Case No. 950/2023, arising out of Teghra P.S. Case No. 19 of 2023.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

annu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

