

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53448 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-42 Year-2025 Thana- SIKRAUL District- Buxar

-
1. Hareram Singh @ Hariram Singh S/o Late Shankar Singh
 2. Taramuni Devi W/o Hareram Singh @ Hariram Singh
Both R/o Village - Bikram English, P.S - Sikraul, District- Buxar
- Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satyapal Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Ranjit Ranjan, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER**

2 11-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Sikraul
P.S. Case No.42 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable
under Sections 80/3(5) of BNS, 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution is that according to
fardbeyan of Sunaina Kuwar, she had solemnized marriage of
her daughter, Ritu Kumari with Mithilesh Kumar Singh, S/o of
Hareram Singh in April 2024. After marriage marriage her
daughter went to her matrimonial home where she lived well for
two months after that, her son-in-law/Damad told her that he
does not like Ritu Kumari and told to take her back. In
Dashahara, informant brought her daughter at her maternal
house. Mithilesh Kumar Singh phoned informant and told her to



bring Ritu Kumari to her matrimonial house. Thereafter, in February, 2025 informant sent her daughter at her matrimonial home. Her in-laws started torturing her regarding which informant's daughter used to talk regularly. The informant tried to persuade her in-laws but the same was in vain. The informant further alleges that her daughter's husband Mithilesh Kumar Singh, father-in-law Hareram Singh, her mother-in-law Taramuni Devi, brother-in-law Akhilesh Kumar, Sister-in-law Puja Kumari, Baby Kumari and Dhanji Singh have murdered her daughter. When the informant reached her daughter's matrimonial house she was found dead.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioner no.1 is the father-in-law and petitioner no.2 is the mother-in-law who are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. The allegations levelled in the FIR against all the accused persons are general and omnibus in the nature. The petitioners further submit that they have been implicated in the present case because they happened to be in-laws of the deceased, Ritu Kumari. It is categorically stated that the husband of the deceased, namely, Mithilesh Kumar Singh is already in custody since 21.06.2025. The petitioners are also in custody since 08.04.2025. Learned counsel for the petitioners



fairly submits that both the petitioners have one criminal antecedent bearing Karakat P.S. Case No.457 of 2024 under Section 85/3(5) BNS and Section 3/4 D.P. Act, in which both the petitioners are on bail. Statement to this effect has been made in paragraph-3 of the bail application.

5. On the other hand, learned APP appearing for the State opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the fact that the petitioners are the in-laws of the deceased and the husband of the deceased is already in jail custody since 21.06.2025, further taking into account the fact that the petitioners are themselves in custody since 08.04.2025 and the charge sheet has been filed thereby completing the investigation, no fruitful purpose will be served in keeping the in-laws/petitioners in custody for prolonged period of time. Under these circumstances, the Court is inclined to grant the privilege of bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioners above named, are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Sikraul P.S. Case No.42 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-



(i) One of the bailors of the petitioners shall be his close relative.

(ii) The petitioners shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.

(iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates without substantial reason or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioners will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.

(iv) If the petitioners are found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond.

(v) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bond in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

8. Accordingly, the prayer for bail is allowed.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Prakash Narayan

U			
---	--	--	--

