

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57266 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-251 Year-2023 Thana- KESARIA District- East Champaran

Sanjeev Ram @ Sanjeev Kumar S/o Rajendra Ram Resident of village -
Bijdhari Mafi, P.S.- Kesariya, District-East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Rina Sinha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 27-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Kesariya P.S. Case No.251 of 2023 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 341, 323, 324, 307, 379, 354B, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Allegedly, on the fateful day, while the informant was at his door, in the meantime, all the FIR named accused persons, including the petitioner came there and started abusing. On protest being made, the accused persons assaulted the informant. It is specifically alleged that on the order of co-accused Rajendra Ram and Pravin Kumar, the petitioner along with one Sandeep Ram caught hold one Chandra Kishore Ram; whereupon co-accused Rajendra Ram assaulted him by



means of sharp cutting weapon due to which he sustained serious injury on his head. There is further allegation of assault against other accused persons.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner taking this Court through the FIR has contended that save and except allegation of catching hold the injured, Chandra Kishore Ram, there is no allegation of any overt act against the petitioner. Moreover, there is a counter version of the present case being Kesariya P.S. Case No.253 of 2023 lodged by the mother of accused Subodh Ram. The injury, though has been narrated in the impugned order, but, surprisingly, nature of the same has not been disclosed, which clearly reveals that the same may not be a grievous one. The petitioner bears one criminal antecedent; however he is on bail in the said case.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposed the bail application and submitted that the petitioner has actively participated in the crime.

6. Regard being had to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and taking note of the role assigned to the petitioner, coupled with the factum of case and counter case and the nature of injury, which *prima facie*, appears to be simple, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of



his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sadar, East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Kesariya P.S. Case No.251 of 2023, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS, with further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

rohit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

