

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52349 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-201 Year-2022 Thana- PRANPUR District- Katihar

1. Urmila Devi Daughter of Dwarika Nath Ghosh village- Babupur, Ps-Pranpur, Dist- Katihar
2. Bibi Manjera @ Manjera Bibi Wife of Nesh Mohammad village-Maniknagar, Ps- Pranpur, Dist- katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar through Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Bihar, Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bhola Prasad, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Arvind Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 12-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and

learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection
with Pranpur (Roshna) P.S. Case No. 201 of 2022 registered
for the offences under Sections 420, 467, 468, 120(B), 471
of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the verification of
the certificate of appointed teachers was made by the
Vigilance Investigation Bureau, specifically mark-sheet and
certificate issued by the Sanskrit Shiksha Board in favour of
the teachers was found to be forged and thereafter FIR was
lodged and investigation has begun.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the instant case. Further submission is that the petitioners were not named in the FIR and only during the course of investigation the members of appointment committee were issued non-bailable warrant of arrest. It is next submitted that the petitioners were ward members in the year 2005-07 when the said offence is said to have been committed. Learned counsel further submits that the beneficiaries have already been released on anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned counsel for the Vigilance Investigation Bureau has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and stated that petitioners were hand in gloves with the beneficiary and they have approved the payment of the said candidates on extraneous consideration and had ignored the fact that their certificates were not proper.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account that the petitioners were ward members and the beneficiaries have already been granted



bail, let the petitioners above named be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Pranpur (Roshna) P.S. Case No. 201 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure read with corresponding Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. as well as subject to the following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioners shall be **their** close relative.

(ii) The petitioners shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.

(iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates, or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioners will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.

(iv) If the petitioners are found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution shall be at



liberty to move for cancellation of their bail bond.

(v) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail is allowed.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

tusharika/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

