

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12907 of 2025

=====

Ram Babu Mishra S/o Mahendra Mishra, R/o Village Bhatgama, Post
Dalsinghsarai, PS Dalsinghsarai, District Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through The Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Samastipur.
3. The Additional Collector, Samastipur.
4. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, District Samastipur.
5. The Circle Officer, Dalsinghsarai, District Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar Poddar, Adv. Ms. Priya, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. J.K. Roy-1, SC-13 Mr. B.B Prasad, AC to SC-13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 18-08-2025 Heard Ms. Priya, learned counsel for the petitioner and

the State.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*(i) To issue an appropriate order/s
direction/s including a writ preferably in the
nature of CERTIORARI for quashing the order
dated 29-06-2020 passed in Name Transfer
Case No. 3481 R27/2019-2020/ Dalsinghsarai
passed by the Circle Officer, Dalsinghsarai,
Samastipur whereby and where under he has
rejected the Name Transfer case filed by the
petitioner for name transfer of his land
measuring an area 38 Dec. appertaining to
Khata No. 118, Plot No. 615 situated in*



Mauja- Bhathgama, Thana No. 74, District-Samastipur.

(ii) To direct the Circle Officer, Dalsinghsarai to transfer the land in favour of petitioner who has purchased the land in question through a registered deed and coming into peaceful possession over the same.

(iii) To any other reliefs to which the petitioner is found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the appropriate respondent in next four weeks but there has been delay in challenging the order.

4. Though there is inordinate delay in challenging the order, for the sake of justice, if the petitioner approaches the appropriate respondent in next two weeks with all the relevant documents, the same be entertained and taken to its logical conclusion at an earliest.

5. The writ petition is disposed with aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

