

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53621 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-118 Year-2025 Thana- SASARAM MUFFSIL District- Rohtas

Khalil Quraishi S/o Tahir Quraishi Resident of Muradabad, P.S.- Sasaram
(Mufassil), District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md Fazle Karim, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-08-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.
Rabindra Kumar, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 190, 191, 192, 132, 109, 121(1), 121(2), 125(a), 125(b), 262 and 263 of the BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of four cases and the informant alleges that on 08.04.2025 at about 11:30 p.m. the informant along with police force reached the house of Tahir Quraishi and petitioner who were accused in Sasaram (Mufassil) P.S. Case No. 194 of 2024, the force found the accused at home and apprehended them. However, the villagers and relatives of the accused attacked the police party with bricks and stones causing injury to Havildar Rajendra Singh and Constable Suniti Kumari. In the



confusion, the accused managed to escape.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that Tahir Quraishi is the father of the petitioner and Tahir Quraishi is not an accused in Sasaram (Mufassil) P.S. Case No. 194 of 2024 but then fairly submits that petitioner is an accused in the aforesaid case. It is next submitted that the police party which reached the place of occurrence for arresting the petitioner is not the investigating officer of Sasaram (Mufassil) P.S. Case No. 194 of 2024.

5. Mr. Rabindra Kumar, learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that petitioner has antecedent of four cases and it is not necessary that the investigating officer would have gone to the house of the petitioner to arrest him when he is an accused in a non-bailable offence, as such, the police party when it got information it reached the house of the petitioner but then petitioner with the help of villagers managed to escape. It is further submitted that if the privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner may abscond.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P. for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the



privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in connection with Sasaram (Mufassil) P.S. Case No. 118 of 2025 pending in the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram/Successor Court.

7. Hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

