

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55907 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-18 Year-2025 Thana- BASOPATTI District- Madhubani

Jitendra Yadav @ Jitendra Kumar Yadav S/O Baleshwar Yadav Resident of
Village- Chhatauni, P.S.- Basopatti, Dist.- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhavesh Kumar Sah, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Narendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 27-08-2025 Heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Learned
APP for the State.

2. The present criminal miscellaneous application has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as ‘the BNSS, 2023’) for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner who apprehends arrest in connection with Basopatti P.S. Case No.18 of 2025, lodged on 21.01.2025, under Sections 308(2) /308(3) /126(2) /115(2) / 352/351(2)/329(3)/3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023, pending in the Court of Sri P. R. Chaurasiya, J.M. 1st Class, Madhubani.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged by the informant alleging that the accused persons have purchased the land from the same land owner and subsequently tried to grab



the land of the petitioner and upon oppose he has assaulted the informant.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel submits that admittedly there is land dispute and if there is any measurement in the land dispute then he has remedy to measure the land from the competent authority. Counsel submits that antecedent of the petitioner is not clean. There are two criminal cases in which petitioner's name was there. In one case he has been acquitted and in another case he is on bail, except that there is no criminal antecedent of the petitioner. Counsel submits that he is ready to fulfill all the conditions whatsoever shall be imposed upon him.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that as per the FIR the modus operandi of the petitioner is not correct. Panchayati sat for this issue but in the panchayati he demanded rupees ten lakhs. He submits that from the FIR it transpires that petitioner is the habitual land grabber and used to do the same act with the different persons.

6. In this view of the matter, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Hence, the prayer for



anticipatory bail of petitioner is hereby refused. However, in the event of surrender of the petitioner within six weeks from today, the prayer for regular bail shall be considered on its own merit without being prejudiced by the order of this Court.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Mkr./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

