

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1492 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-0 Thana- District- Vaishali

Md Reyaj S/O Md. Muslim R/O Village- Shyampur Dayal, P.O. Madhurapur,
Ps. Bidupur, Dist. Vaishali

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union Of India Through The Secretary, Ministry Of Home , New Delhi India
2. The Secretary Ministry External Affairs Govt. Of India India
3. The Secretary Ministry Of Home, Govt. Of India, New Delhi New Delhi
4. The Chief Secretary Govt. Of Bihar, Patna Bihar, Patna
5. The Central Bureau Of Investigation Of Govt. Of India India
6. The Secretary Deptt. Of Home Govt. Of Bihar, Patna Bihar, Patna
7. Principal Secretary Vigilance Deptt. Of Bihar, Patna Bihar, Patna
8. The Regional Passport Officer, Regional Passport Officer, Patna Bihar, Patna
9. Consulate General Of India, Jeddah India
10. The Superintendent Of Police Vaishali At Hajipur Bihar, Vaishali At Hajipur
11. The S.H.O. Mahnar Police Station District Vaishali Bihar, Vaishali At Hajipur
12. Mohammad Shaukat Alam S/O Abdul Aziz R/O- Ward No. 22, Mahnar, Dist. Vaishali, Presently Resident Of At Nayatola, Islampur, P.O. Mahnar, Ps. Mahnar, Dist. Vaishali

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Fakhruddin Ali Ahmad, Advocate
For the Union of India	:	Mr. Dr. K.N.Singh, Addl. S.G. Mr. Ratnesh Kumar, Sr. G.C. Mr. Aditya Anand, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Suman Kumar Jha, A.C. to AAG-3
For the Vigilance	:	Mr. Anil Singh, Spl. P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2025

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The main copy of the rejoinder filed by
learned counsel for the petitioner in Court is taken on record.



3. By way of this writ petition, the petitioner, who is the nephew of private respondent no.11, has prayed for the following reliefs :-

- “a. To direct the respondents to conduct the enquiry with regard to forgery and cheating committed by private respondents which may harm the interest of the nation and to take appropriate legal action after lodging F.I.R. against him.*
- b. To direct the respondent to dispose of the representation submitted by the petitioner whereby he has raised the mischief, cheating and fraud committed by private respondent in changing his identity on each and every documents.”*

4. In the opinion of this Court, it is a private dispute. This writ petition cannot be entertained to settle the private dispute between two parties.

5. Accordingly, this criminal writ petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to approach the District Court for redressal of his grievances.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

pawan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

