

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54480 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-76 Year-2025 Thana- ALINAGAR District- Darbhanga

1. Manjeet Sharma S/O Indra Bhushan Sharma R/v-Nankar, PS- Alinagar, district- Darbhanga
2. Vijay Sharma @ Vijoy Sharma S/O Gariban Sharma R/v-Korthu, PS- Ghanshayampur, Distt-Darbhang

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Lakshmi Kant Sharma, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 08-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. Petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 109 and 3(5) of B.N.S., 2023 as well as under Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 02.04.2025 at about 07:30 p.m., the informant was working with his daughter at his doorstep when three persons came on a motorcycle and two of them entered his house and one person asked about the status of PAN card



application. Thereafter the other person fired at the informant causing injury on his abdomen and again attempted to fire but missed and thereafter the miscreants fled away.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are not named in the FIR and their names transpire during the course of investigation in the confessional statement of apprehended accused before the police which does not have any evidentiary value. It is next submitted that the apprehended accused disclosed before the police that that the petitioners have hatched a conspiracy on account of land dispute and it was at the behest of the petitioners that the occurrence was committed. It is further submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then petitioners are not named in the FIR.

5. The learned APP vehemently opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that though the informant received firearm injury, he did not raise any suspicion against the petitioners in the FIR but then, during the course of investigation, it transpired that it was at the behest of the petitioners that the occurrence was committed. It is next submitted that investigation in the case is continuing and if the privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioners, they



may abscond.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP, this Court is not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is hereby rejected in connection with Alinagar P.S. Case No.76 of 2025, pending in the court of learned S.D.J.M., Benipur, Darbhanga.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

