

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1663 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-106 Year-2023 Thana- AHIYAPUR District- Muzaffarpur

Parwati Devi Wife of Nagendra Ram R/O Vill.- Mustafapur, P.s.- Ahiyapur,
Dist.- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Director General of Police, Bihar BIHAR
2. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Muzaffarpur Bihar
3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Town, Muzaffarpur Bihar
4. S.H.O. of Ahiyapur Town Police Station, Dist.- Muzaffarpur. Bihar
5. The Investigating Officer of Ahiyapur PS case no. 106/23, Muzaffarpur Bihar
6. Amar Sah Son of Yogendra Sah R/O Vill.- Mustafapur, P.s.- Ahiyapur, Dist.- Muzaffarpur.
7. Munna Sah Son of Ashish Bhagat R/O Vill.- Mustafapur, P.s.- Ahiyapur, Dist.- Muzaffarpur.
8. Rajeev Bhagat Son of Aashish Bhagat R/O Vill.- Mustafapur, P.s.- Ahiyapur, Dist.- Muzaffarpur.
9. Ram Nath Sah Son of Shiv Sah R/O Vill.- Mustafapur, P.s.- Ahiyapur, Dist.- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bela Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vivek Prasad, G.P.7
Mr. Aman Priyadarshini, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 05-05-2025 Heard the parties.

2. By way of this writ application, the petitioner has
prayed for the following reliefs:-

(i) For directing and commanding the respondent to re-investigate in Ahiyapur P.S. case no. 106/23, lodged under section 302, 406, 420, 120B of the Indian Penal Code, and section 3 (i) (Y) (s) of SC/ST Act properly and fairly either through higher police officer or any independent agency, lodged by petitioner against private respondent no. 6 to 9 and after reinvestigation to submit its respective Final report as earliest.



(ii) For directing and commanding the respondent to take appropriate step against the erring officer.

(iii) And also to take coercive action against the private respondents as they are giving threatening to the petitioner for dire consequence.

3. The case of the petitioner is that the deceased has been killed by respondent nos. 6 to 9.

4. In this case, the police, after investigation, has submitted final form and the petitioner has an alternative remedy of filing protest petition against the final form which, according to the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, has already been filed in the Court below.

5. In view of the above, it is now up to the Court below to either accept the final form or differ with the final form and take appropriate action in this regard, in accordance with law. This Court will not interfere in the matter.

6. Accordingly, this application is disposed of with the aforesaid observations.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Vikas/-

U			
---	--	--	--

