

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12245 of 2025

=====

Santosh Kumar @ Kumar Nav Vaibhav Son of Saryoo Prasad Singh Resident
of Village- Yodha Nagar, P.S.- Aurangabad, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Public Health Engineering Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Jehanabad.
3. The District Certificate Officer, Jehanabad.
4. The Sub- Divisional Officer/Tribunal Minimum Wages, Jehanabad.
5. Labour Superintendent, Jehanabad.
6. Superintendent of Police, Aurangabad.
7. Officer- In- Charge, Aurangabad Sadar P.S., Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Standing Counsel (28)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY
ORAL ORDER

2 29-08-2025 Without going into the merits or demerits of the case,

the present writ petition is disposed of at the stage of admission

with the consent of both the parties.

2. Having regard to the fact that the petitioner has

already filed his objection under Section 9 of the Bihar and

Orissa Public Demand Recovery Act, 1914 to the notice issued

to him under Section 7 of the Bihar and Orissa Public Demand

Recovery Act, 1914, this Court is of the opinion that the ends of

justice would be served if the present writ petition is disposed of

directing the authorities to consider the said objections and pass



a reasoned order under Section 10 of the Act. The entire exercise shall be completed as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. It is needless to mention that the petitioner shall be given an opportunity of hearing before any orders are passed. Any orders passed shall be communicated to the petitioner.

3. Till such time the final orders are passed, the authorities are directed not to take any coercive steps against the petitioner. It is made clear that in case the petitioner does not cooperate for final disposal of the case, the authority is free to pass necessary orders strictly in accordance with law.

4. With the above directions, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

(A. Abhishek Reddy, J)

Ayush/-

U			
---	--	--	--

