

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50668 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-138 Year-2025 Thana- BIRPUR District- Supaul

Saurabh Ray @ Saurav Rai @ Saurabh Rai S/o Sri Balram Krishna Ray
Resident of Gupta Gali, Ward No. 9, P.S.,- Birpur, District- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Amrit Abhijat, Advocate
For the State	:	Mrs. Pushpa Sinha, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Pravin Kumar, Advocate
	:	Mr. Pramod Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 28-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP
for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 108, 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The allegation in the first information report is
that the informant's wife committed suicide and has claimed
that the petitioner is responsible for the said suicide as he used
to contact her and tease her in his absence and also used to
extort money from her by blackmailing her.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
deceased was a tenant in the house of his uncle as such was very
well known to the petitioner and they were sharing very cordial
relation, as such there is no reason why the petitioner would



indulge in any act of extortion or blackmailing. It is an admitted position that the deceased was school teacher and was also a mother of two children and also in such view of the matter, there is no question of teasing the deceased. It was rather the petitioner who had informed the husband about his wife having jumped into river for reasons best known to her, whereafter the informant came and lodged the present case making the petitioner an accused in the said case. The materials collected during the course of investigation do not disclose any specific evidence with regard to any teasing or extortion and paragraph 38 of the case diary which is the statement of Kanchan Kumari, who was also a tenant in the same house has rather stated that the petitioner and the deceased were on talking terms and had very cordial relations and the deceased also used to go to his house, however the petitioner is in custody since 06.05.2025 and charge-sheet has already been submitted and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant have opposed the grant of bail. However, learned counsel for the informant has also filed a counter affidavit in order to oppose the present application.

6. Taking into consideration the rival contentions and



also considering the fact that no substantive material has been indicated during the course of investigation against the petitioner to establish any bad or strained relationship between the petitioner and the deceased much less there being any proximate or live link of any act of petitioner leading the deceased to commit suicide, coupled with the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 06.05.2025 and is a student having no criminal antecedent, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M.-I, Birpur/concerned Court below in connection with Birpur P.S. Case No. 138 of 2025.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

