

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50199 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-368 Year-2024 Thana- SAKRA District- Muzaffarpur

1. Pintu Rai @ Pintu Kumar Rai @ Pintu Kumar S/o Brahamdev Rai Resident of Villge - Bishunpur Bakhari, P.S. - Sakra, District - Muzaffarpur
2. Brahamdev Rai S/o Jatahu Rai Resident of Villge - Bishunpur Bakhari, P.S. - Sakra, District - Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Adarsh Ranjan, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH CHANDEL
ORAL ORDER

2 12-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as
counsel for the respondent-State.

2. This is the first anticipatory bail application preferred by the petitioners to apprehend their arrest in connection with Sakra P.S. Case No. 368 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Section 80 of B.N.S.

3. During the course of argument, counsel for the petitioners wants to withdraw the bail application with regard to petitioner/applicant no. 1 i.e. Pintu Rai @Pintu Kumar Rai @ Pintu Kumar. Thus, the bail application has been dismissed as withdrawn with regard to petitioner no. 1, Pintu Rai.

4. In this case, the name of the deceased is Monika



Devi, her marriage was solemnized 1.5 years before the incident with applicant no. 1, Pintu Rai @ Pintu Kumar allegedly, after marriage both the applicants herein as well as other co-accused persons named in the FIR tortured the deceased person on account of demand of dowry. It is further alleged that on 06.07.2024 the deceased was taken to the hospital in burnt condition and during the course of treatment she died. Subsequently, FIR has been lodged on the basis of the complaint made by the mother of the deceased.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner no. 2, Brahamdev Rai is the father in law of the deceased person, in the FIR no allegation of any demand of dowry or cruelty with deceased has been made against the applicant no. 2. There is also no evidence on record which shows that at the time of incident the present applicant Brahamdev Rai was present on the spot, since, he is the father in law of the deceased therefore, general allegations has been made against him. Therefore, it is prayed that on these grounds he may be granted benefit of anticipatory bail.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the submissions put forth by both the



counsels and particularly considering the fact that there is no allegation of demand of dowry or cruelty with the deceased has been made against applicant no. 2, Brahamdev Rai, I am of the view that the petitioner no. 2 should be granted the benefit of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. The petitioner no. 2 is directed to be released on bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate – XV, Muzaffarpur in connection with Sakra P.S. Case No. 368 of 2024 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita.

(Arvind Singh Chandel , J)

Siddharth Soni/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

