

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50912 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-6 Year-2025 Thana- SIKTI District- Araria

=====

Bila @ Saijun S/o Mahrudin @ Bahrawa Vill.- Singhia, Ward No. 03, PS-
Sikty, Distt.- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vijay Kishore Bharti

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Singh

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 08-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sikty P.S. Case No. 06/2025 dated 11.01.2025 for the offences punishable u/s 30(a), 32(2) and 41 of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and Section 317(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 216 litres of illicit Nepali country-made liquor was recovered from the three motorcycles.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. As per para. 11 of the bail petition,



the petitioner is not the owner of the said motorcycles. The apprehended person disclosed the name of the petitioner. The other co-accused person has already been granted bail by this court *vide* order dated 29.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 25707/2025. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his



arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Araria in connection with Sikty P.S. Case No. 06/2025, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. This application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

