

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53274 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-228 Year-2024 Thana- PURAINI District- Madhepura

Shrikant Sharma @ Shrikant Sah @ Srikant Sah @ Srikant Sharma S/O
Suryug Sah R/O Village-Fulpur, Ward no. 07, PS-Puraini, Distt-Madhepura
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar
... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rupesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Suresh Prasad Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-09-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Puraini P.S. Case No. 228 of 2024 registered for the offence
under Sections 103(1), 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Section 27 of
Arms Act.

3. The petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and is in
custody since 06.12.2024

4. As per the FIR, a suspicion was raised by son of
the deceased who is informant of the present case that the
petitioner had committed murder of his father along with
other co-accused persons due to business related enmities
arising out of financial issues.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submitted that petitioner falsely implicated with the



present case and save and except suspicions, nothing transpired during the investigation which suggests any incriminating material against the petitioner *qua* his involvement with the present crime in question. It is pointed out that admittedly the petitioner was in business relations with the deceased father of the informant and therefore calling over mobile phone as transpired from call details report (C.D.R.) is a normal event and the same could not be the basis of implications and except it no incriminating materials in support of involvement of petitioner was surfaced during investigation. Learned counsel further submits that similarly situated co-accused namely Amod Kumar granted bail by one of the learned Co-ordinate Bench of this Court through Cr. Misc. No. 39207 of 2025 dated 03.09.2025 and therefore, on the ground of parity, this petitioner deserves the privilege of bail. While concluding the argument, it is submitted that petitioner found involved in one more criminal case where he is on bail and moreover, investigation of this case is completed, for which charge-sheet has been submitted, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence.



6. Learned APP for the State could not disputed the facts as submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner.

7. In view of aforesaid facts and submissions as save and except suspicion *qua* enmity arising out of business transaction of petitioner with deceased (father of the informant), nothing incriminating appears against the petitioner, coupled with fact that charge-sheet has already been submitted, where petitioner is in custody since 06.12.2024, accordingly petitioner above named, is directed to be released on bail in connection with Puraini P.S. Case No. 228 of 2024 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-1, Uda Kisunganj, District-Madhepura /concerned court, subject to the conditions as mentioned under Section 437(3) of the Cr.P.C/ Section 480(3) of B.N.S.S.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

tusharika/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

