

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50594 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-189 Year-2025 Thana- EXCISE MASAUDHI District- Patna

Nilu Ram S/o Surendra Ram R/o Village- Nijampur, PS- Janipur, Distt.- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jay Ram Prasad, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Naresh Ray, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 08-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in connection with Excise Masaurhi P.S. Case No. 189 of 2025 dated 30.04.2025 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 30(a), 32(3) and 56(b) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 160 litres of illicit country made liquor was recovered from the CNG Tempo.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner is not the driver rather his son was driving the said vehicle and he is the owner of the said vehicle as stated in para-12 of the bail petition. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner and he



has no concern with the alleged recovery. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The co-accused person has already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 16.06.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 35593 of 2025. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on



anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/-
(Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount
each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned, Patna in
connection with Masaurhi P.S. Case No. 189 of 2025, subject to
conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

