

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.2812 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-596 Year-2024 Thana- KARAKAT District- Rohtas

-
1. Ravi Ranjan Kumar S/O Rajgrih Pandit R/O Vill.- Gorakh Parasi, P.S.- Karakat, Dist.- Rohtas
 2. Chandan Kumar S/O Narad Muni Prajapati R/O Vill.- Redia, P.S.- Bikramganj, Dist.- Rohtas
 3. Rajesh Kumar S/O Motilal Prajapati R/O Vill.- Motha, P.S.- Karakat, Dist.- Rohtas

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Kavita Devi W/O Akash Kumar R/O Vill.- Gorakh Parasi, P.S.- Karakat, Dist.- Rohtas- 802214

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar Pandey

For the Respondent no. 2: Mr. Satyendra Pandey

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

- 4 18-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the appellants and learned Special Public Prosecutor for the SC/ST Act.
2. An order, dated 30.04.2025, passed by learned Additional District and Sessions Judge 17 -cum- Special Judge SC/ST (POA) Act, Sasaram, Rohtas, is under challenge in the present appeal preferred under Section 14-A (2) of the Schedule Caste and Schedule Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, whereby the anticipatory bail application of the appellant in connection with Karakat Police Station Case No. 596 of 2024 registered for the



offence punishable under Sections 126(2)/115(2)/74/303(2)/3(5) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3 (1)(r)/3(1)(s) of the Schedule Caste/Schedule Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, has been rejected.

3. The prosecution case, as per First Information Report, is that on 17.11.2024 at about 08:00 P.M., the appellants, along with other accuse persons, entered into the house of informant assaulted informant's husband and also assaulted the informant by pulling her hair causing injuries. It has further been alleged that all the accused persons also assaulted family members of the informant resulting in injuries.
4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant has falsely been implicated in the present case due to village politics. He next submits that the allegation against the appellants are general and omnibus in nature and no serious injury has been caused to the victims. He further submits that the provisions of SC/ST Act is not attributable against the appellants inasmuch as the offenses under Sections 115(2) is bailable and and punishable with imprisonment up to one year, while



Sections 126(2), 74 and 303(2) are merely ornamental in nature.

5. Learned counsel for respondent no. 2 opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that there is specific allegation of assault against the appellants.
6. Having regard to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and taking into consideration the defence taken by learned counsel for the appellants and the fact that no serious injury has been caused to the victim and allegation of caste based abuse in full public view is not there in the First Information Report, I am inclined to grant the appellants privilege of anticipatory bail.
7. This appeal is, accordingly, allowed and the order, dated 30.04.2025, passed by learned Additional District and Sessions Judge 17 -cum- Special Judge SC/ST (POA) Act, Sasaram, Rohtas, is set aside.
8. Let the appellants, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within four weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional District and Sessions Judge 17 -cum- Special Judge



SC/ST (POA) Act, Sasaram, Rohtas, in connection with
Karakat Police Station Case No. 596 of 2024, subject to
the condition laid down under Section 482 (2) of the
Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

ashwani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

