

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62146 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-147 Year-2023 Thana- SIMRI District- Darbhanga

Kundan Kumar @ Kundan Das @ Bajrangi @ Kulanand Son of Late Kamal
Mohan Lal Das Resident of Village- Kamrouli PS- Simri, District- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Chandra Mohan Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Surendra Prasad Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Sumit Kr. Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

3 19-09-2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail in connection with Sessions Trial no.675 of 2023, arising out of Simri P.S. Case no.147 of 2023 registered under sections 302 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that his daughter-in-law along with his grand children had left his son and was living in an extramarital relationship with the petitioner at his farm. On the informant's son visiting them for the reason of his children, it is stated that the petitioner and his daughter-in-law tied him up, which was seen by other persons who visited them. As a result of assault by the petitioner and others, the son of the informant sustained injuries and on being taken to the hospital was declared dead.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the earlier application for bail of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 26.11.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. no.74522 of 2024 giving liberty to the petitioner to renew his prayer for bail after six months if there is no substantial progress in the trial. It is submitted that inspite of the petitioner being in custody since 22.7.2023, the trial has still not concluded and various exhibits still remain to be marked for which no expeditious steps have been taken in the learned trial Court. As such the petitioner be released on bail.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. A report was called for from the learned trial Court. As per the report received contained in letter dated 2.9.2025, all the witnesses on behalf of the prosecution have been examined. Only the FSL report remains to be marked as an exhibit. The next date fixed in the trial is 8.9.2025.

7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the nature of allegation against the petitioner in the F.I.R. and the trial having reached near its conclusion, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.



8. Learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and to conclude the same at the earliest preferably within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

