

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52047 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-125 Year-2025 Thana- PANAPUR District- Saran

1. Shanti Devi W/o Surendra Singh R/o Village- Moriya, P.S.- Panapur, District- Saran
2. Ranju Devi W/o Sushil Singh and D/o- Surendra Singh R/o Village- Moriya, P.S.- Panapur, District- Saran Present Add. Village- Khas Parsauni, P.S.- Uchkagaon, District- Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms.Chetna, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ranjan Kumar, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

3 26-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State as also learned counsel for the Informant. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Panapur P.S. Case No. 125 of 2025, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 80 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that daughter of the informant was done to death by the petitioners along with other co-accused persons for non-fulfillment of dowry demand.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that



the petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case merely on the basis of suspicion. The petitioners are mother-in-law and sister-in-law of the deceased. It is further submitted that the petitioner no.1 is an old aged infirm lady whereas petitioner no.2 resides in her matrimonial house and she was also not present at the place of occurrence on the alleged day of incident. It is further submitted that husband of the deceased is already in judicial custody. There is no specific or direct allegation of any overt act against the petitioner rather the same is general and omnibus in nature. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the co-accused Manju Devi has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 07.08.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 51019 of 2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and the Informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners stating that the offence alleged is serious in nature. It is further submitted that the deceased has died in a suspicious condition within a period of two years of her marriage in her matrimonial house and, hence, the petitioners do not deserve privilege of anticipatory bail.



6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also there being no specific allegation against the petitioners, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of arrest/surrender before the lower court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-(Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Panapur P.S. Case No. 125 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

