

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55723 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-36 Year-2024 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Lakhisarai

Sonam Kumari D/o Bino Prasad @ Bino Singh At present Railway Colony Sandhna Dhala, New Shiv Mandir, P.S.- Chhapra, Distt- Saran, At present Address R/o Dhairyama Tola Man Singh Gali, P.S.- Chhapra, Distt- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Khusbu Kumari, W/o-Rupesh Kumar,D/o-Late Jawahar Mandal,R/o-At Santar Muhalla,Ward no.-13,P.S.and Dist-Lakhisarai

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Prasad, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ravindra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 14-11-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 498(A), 494, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a woman. It is submitted that petitioner married Rupesh without knowing that he was married from before and the informant was his first wife. It is submitted that Rupesh and the petitioner was working in the same bank and they came close and Rupesh concealing the fact



that he was married from before, performed his second marriage with the petitioner. It is also submitted that police during the course of investigation had given notice under Section 41A Cr.P.C. and the petitioner cooperated with the police when the case was being investigated and the police never felt the need of arresting her but then charge sheet came to be submitted based on which cognizance was taken as such petitioner apprehends arrest.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that when police during the course of investigation never felt the need of arresting the petitioner whether it would be prudent for the Court to send the petitioner to jail based on an order of cognizance which came to be taken after charge sheet was submitted. It is reiterated and submitted that petitioner is the second wife of Rupesh but then she was unaware that Rupesh was married from before. It is submitted that Rupesh has spoiled her entire life.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the



event of her arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No.36/2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

