

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51745 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-294 Year-2023 Thana- BOCHAHAN District- Muzaffarpur

Md Talim Son of Md. Jabbar Village -Hasanchak Bangra Police Station
-Sadar District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar S.K., Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Priyesh Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 19-09-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 302 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that this petitioner, along with co-accused Md. Rustam, dashed husband of informant on ground as a result of which he sustained injuries on his head and during course of treatment, he died.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. As a matter of fact, petitioner is nephew of informant and there



was a quarrel between son and husband of informant and this petitioner, along with co-accused Md. Rustam, was called to pacify the same and during course of scuffle, husband of informant fell down on the road and sustained head injury. It is further submitted that there is no oblique or premeditated motive alleged against this petitioner and in a sudden fight, this petitioner, along with co-accused Md. Rustam, dashed husband of informant. Charges have already been framed against this petitioner and the case is pending for prosecution evidence. Petitioner has got no criminal antecedents and he is in custody since 21.03.2024.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, relationship between the parties, period of custody and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-V,



Muzaffarpur in connection with Bochahan P.S. Case No. 294 of
2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

