

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51855 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-44 Year-2025 Thana- SARSI District- Purnia

-
1. Vikash Yadav @ Vikash Kumar S/o Shambhu Yadav R/o Village- Jangananj Uttar, P.S.- Sarsi, District- Purnea
 2. Pintu Kumar Putla @ Pintu Kumar Yadav S/o Ramji Yadav R/o Village- Jangananj Uttar, P.S.- Sarsi, District- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bidhu Ranjan, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 01-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioners after arguing vehemently for some time, realizing his difficulty seeks permission to withdraw the anticipatory bail application with respect to petitioner no.1, namely, Vikash Yadav @ Vikash Kumar.
3. Permission is accorded.
4. The petitioner no.2 apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.S.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner no.2 has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges that while he was coming back home on a motorcycle with Amresh after withdrawing Rs.10,000/- when they were intercepted by nine accused persons and Vikash assaulted him by farsha causing injury on head, thereafter Pintu, Mukesh and others assaulted by lathi and danda and Munni snatched golden chain while Vikash and Pintu snatched Rs.10,000/-.

6. Learned counsel submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the allegation of assault is general and omnibus against the petitioner but then Vikash is alleged to have assaulted by farsha causing injury on head. It is also submitted that the order impugned records the injury report of the injured and the doctor found fracture of head of the informant and the injury was opined to be grievous but then as far as other injuries are concerned, they are on non-vital part of the body.

7. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

8. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the



event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Sarsi P.S. Case No.44/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

