

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.2808 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-88 Year-2024 Thana- CHANDRAMANDI District- Jamui

Sanjay Kumar S/O Janardan Singh @ Janardan Prasad Singh R/O Village- Bhorha, P.S- Simri Bakhtiyarpur, Distt.- Saharsa, Presently Teacher, Khash Chakai School, Chakai, Residing in the house of Suro Rai, Village and P.S- Chakai, Distt.- Jamui.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Fulwa Devi W/O Kailash Das R/O Village- Petarpahadi, P.S- Chakai, Distt.- Jamui, presently residing at Motimahahal, P.S- Chakai, Distt.- Jamui.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Ms. Ankita Kumari, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sadanand Paswan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

4 25-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned SPP for the State.

2. The appellant seeks bail in connection with SC/ST Case No. 78 of 2024, arising out of Chandramandih P.S. Case No. 88 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 302, 201 & 120B of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3(i)(r)(s) & 3(2)(va) of the SC/ST Act.

3. This is the second attempt of the appellant for bail. The appellant has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail which was earlier rejected on merit by this Court vide order dated 18.01.2025, passed in Cr. APP (SJ) No. 3840 of 2024,



taking into account confessional statement of co-accused, which is supported by the medical evidence as also the gravity of the offence.

4. In compliance of the order dated 29-07-2025, a report dated 06-08-2025 with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that eight out of eleven charge sheet witnesses have been examined hitherto. It is further reported that trial is expected to be concluded within a period of six months.

5. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant is languishing in judicial custody since 06-06-2024 without any rhymes or reason. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that there is no likelihood of the trial being concluded in the near future, hence, appellant deserves the privilege of bail.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, there is no new ground to consider the appeal of the appellant. From the aforesaid report, it also appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the appellant is again *rejected* with a direction to the court below to



expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of four months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of four months, as stated above, the appellant will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

