

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51100 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-147 Year-2025 Thana- NAUTAN District- West Champaran

Pramod Pandey S/o Bharat Pandey R/o Mangalpur Kala, ward no. 3, P.S.-
Nautan, Distt.- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 11-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner along with other named accused persons and 10-15 unknown persons, all variously armed, abused and assaulted various persons of the prosecution side. It has been specifically mentioned that the petitioner had assaulted the informant by lathi and iron rod along with one Golu Pandey causing injury on the left shoulder and back and subsequently the petitioner along with one Liju Kumari had assaulted one Aman Kumar over his head and chest.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case in



an incident wherein case and counter case was lodged. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner himself was grievously injured and as such, the allegations levelled against him is all concocted. It has next been submitted that the allegations upon the petitioner get falsified from perusal of the injury report of the informant wherein simple injuries have been found and moreover no injury report of the said Aman Kumar had been brought on record. Learned counsel lastly submits that petitioner has one criminal case which was lodged after the present case.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid submissions, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Nautan P.S. Case No. 147 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure read with corresponding Section 482(2) of BNSS as well as subject to the following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his close relative.

(ii) The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court



on each date of the trial.

(iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates, or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.

(iv) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond.

(v) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail is allowed.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

Siwani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

