

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49168 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-380 Year-2024 Thana- SALIMPUR District- Patna

- 
1. Jwala Kumar S/o Late Mahesh Singh @ Mahesh Yadav R/o Village- Alipur, P.S.- Salimpur, District- Patna
  2. Dharmendra Kumar S/o Late Mahesh Singh @ Mahesh Yadav R/o Village- Alipur, P.S.- Salimpur, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

---

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioners : Mr. Anish Kumar, Advocate  
For the State : Mr. Binod Kumar, APP

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      19-08-2025                      Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the  
petitioners and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 189(4), 189(6), 189(7), 189(8), 190, 191(2) and 191(3) of the B.N.S..

3. As per prosecution case, informant, namely Krishna Kumar, alleged that on 19.12.2024, he received information regarding fighting and firing between two groups on account of land dispute and when informant, along with police party, reached at the place of occurrence, all the F.I.R. named accused



persons, including these petitioners, fled away with arms and ammunition. It is further alleged that one car was seized at the place of occurrence.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case merely on suspicion. No illegal arms or ammunition has been recovered from possession of these petitioners. Petitioner No. 1 has got one criminal antecedent in which he is already on bail and Petitioner No. 2 has got no criminal antecedents.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and submitted that petitioners are named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that they, along with other accused persons, were fighting and firing due to land dispute. Petitioner No. 2 is registered owner of the seized vehicle and these petitioners were seen fleeing away from the place of occurrence with arms and ammunition. Petitioner No. 1 has got one criminal antecedent of similar nature.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case



and nature of accusation, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail  
to the petitioners is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

