

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52058 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-222 Year-2024 Thana- KATIHAR MUFFASIL District- Katihar

Furkhan Khan Son of Late Ayub Khan Resident of Village - Hazi Tola, Ward
No.- 6, P.S.- Dandkhora, District - Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suresh Prasad Sah @ Baranwal, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Raj Ballabh Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 12-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mufassil
P.S. Case No. 222 of 2024 instituted for the offences under
Section 309(4) of the B.N.S.

3. As per prosecution case, on 19.09.2024, when the
Informant along with his sister was going to Hasanganj, four
motorcycle-borne miscreants stopped them on the way and took
away the key of the bike, purse and mobile on the point of gun.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged
against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case
only on the basis of suspicion. The petitioner has not committed



any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. The petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. as the same was instituted against unknown persons. He further submits that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious/physical possession of the petitioner. Except suspicion, there is nothing adverse against the petitioner in the entire record of the case. The petitioner has confessed his guilt before the police of being involved in the alleged offence which has no eventiary value in the eye of law. No T.I.P. has been held in this case. The petitioner has four criminal antecedents and is languishing in judicial custody since 19.03.2025 without any rhymes or reason. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. Other co-accused has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 04-03-2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 12255 of 2025.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Having heard rival contention of both the parties and considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case and the period of custody of the petitioner, let the petitioner, abovenamed, be released on bail, *after framing of charge if not already framed*, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.15,000/- (Fifteen thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to



the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Mufassil P.S. Case No. 222 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:

- (i) One of the bailor(s) shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.
- (ii) The petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

