

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12482 of 2025

Pushkar Kumar Jha Son of Uday Kumar Jha, Resident of Village Bardaha,
Police Station- Babubarhi in the District of Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Madhubani, Bihar.
4. The District Education Officer, Madhubani, Bihar.
5. The Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.
6. The Principal, Sanskrit Bal Vikas Mandir, Bardaha, Madhubani, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Abhishek Anand, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. P.K. Shahi, A.G.
For the Board	:	Mr. Satyam Shivam Sundaram, Advocate
		Mr. Ankit, Advocate
		Mr. Aman Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

4 14-11-2025 Following are the reliefs sought for in the present writ application:-

“a) A Writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondents to dissolve the constitution of old committee constituted by order of



Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Siksha Board, Patna (Annexure-P2(series)) and constitute a fresh committee where there is no family politics, no inclusion of local politician and no inclusion of family members of School administration.

b) A writ in nature of mandamus directing the respondents to publish advertisement regarding recruitment of teachers in Sanskrit Bal Vikas mandir. Bardaha. Madhubani. Bihar and other Sanskrit schools in local newspaper so that maximum candidates can participate in it.

c) A writ in nature of mandamus for making benchmark that eligibility criteria of candidates applying for post of teacher in the Sanskrit schools should be BED along with CTET.

d) Any other Relief/Reliefs your lordship think fit in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

2. From the perusal of record, it appears that the petitioner has filed the present P.I.L. challenging the advertisement issued for the appointment of teachers in Sanskrit School. Apparently, an advertisement can be challenged only by a person who is aggrieved or by an eligible candidate affected by such advertisement.

3. In the present case, the petitioner merely claims to be a social worker. However, except for this assertion, no particulars of his social activities have been furnished in Para-3 of the writ petitioner to establish his locus.

4. We, therefore, find no merit in the present writ



application and the same is, accordingly, dismissed.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, ACJ)

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Sachin/-

U			
---	--	--	--

