

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54189 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-477 Year-2023 Thana- GOPALPUR District- Bhagalpur

Monu Kumar, Son of Narsingh Mahaldar, R/O Village- Bhimdas Tola,
Tintenga, P.S.- Rangra (Gopalpur), District- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Singh No. 1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 12-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Sessions Trial No. 957 of 2024, arising out of Gopalpur (Rangra OP) P.S. Case No. 477 of 2023, registered for the alleged offences under Sections 147, 149, 341, 342, 447, 302 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, allegation against the petitioner is that he assaulted the son of the informant causing his death.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this is second attempt of the petitioner to seek bail from this Court. Earlier, the prayer for bail of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 04.10.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No.54707 of



2024. The learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 06.10.2023 and only charges have been framed in this case and no witness has been examined till date and there is no likelihood of early conclusion of trial. There is no allegation that the petitioner repeated blows and there was no intention to cause death.

5. The learned APP vehemently opposes the submission made on behalf of the petitioner. The learned APP submits that no fresh ground has been brought on record for reconsideration of prayer of bail and the period of custody could not be a ground.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submission made on behalf of the parties, I do not find any new ground to reconsider the prayer for bail of the petitioner. Hence, his prayer for grant of bail is rejected.

7. A report dated 26.08.2025 has been received from the learned trial court about the present stage of trial and from the report, it transpires that charges have been framed against the petitioner on 25.08.2025 and, thereafter, matter has been coming at the stage of prosecution evidence and the learned trial court has submitted that it would take approximately nine months to conclude the trial.



8. Therefore, the learned trial court is reminded of its undertaking and directed to conclude the trial within the nine months.

V.K.Pandey/-

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

