

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51216 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-570 Year-2023 Thana- TAJPUR District- Samastipur

=====

Dharmendra son of Bhim Gupta Village- House no 645 C. Block, Phase 1  
Metro Vihar, P.S.- Holambi Kalan, District- North West Delhi, State- Delhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajeev Ranjan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Nazir Ansari

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH  
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2      08-08-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Tajpur P.S. Case No. 570/2023 dated 03.10.2023 for the offences punishable u/s 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 2514.420 litres of illicit foreign liquor was recovered from truck.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The apprehended person disclosed the name of the petitioner. The other co-accused person has already



been granted bail by this court *vide* order dated 04.01.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 78855/2023. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned,  
Samastipur in connection with Tajpur P.S. Case No. 570/2023,  
subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the  
B.N.S.S.

7. This application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

atul/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

