

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52229 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-54 Year-2024 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE-ARWAL District-
Arwal

=====

Prince Kumar S/O Naresh Singh R/O Vill. - Alawalchak, P.O - Rampur
Chauram, P.S - Rampur Chauram, District - Arwal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Babita Kumari W/O Prince Kumar, D/O Kameshwar Singh R/O Vill. -
Chotkidangrahar, P.O - Arwal, P.S - Arwal, District - Arwal

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Sristy Patel, Advocate Mr. Shubhankar Raj, Adv Mr. Umesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Mayank Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Braj Kishore Pd.(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 15-11-2025 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 307,
498A, 504 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. According to prosecution case, the complainant
alleges that after three years of marriage and the birth of a child,
her husband and in-laws demanded one katha of land or
Rs.3,00,000 and a motorcycle, despite already receiving
Rs.5,50,000 worth of dowry. When she refused, she was abused
and beaten, and her father and brother were also assaulted when
they confronted the in-laws. The accused allegedly drink
heavily, are violent, and show no concern for her or the child,



forcing her to live at her parental home and seek court intervention.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the order dated 12.08.2025, the matter was sent for mediation and the dispute between the parties have been resolved through mediation, which is report is kept at Flag 'M' of the anticipatory bail application being Mediation Report No.1193 of 2025. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the O.P. No.2 is currently residing with the petitioner.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that the matter has already been resolved by the process of mediation, accordingly, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M., Arwal, in connection with Arwal



Complaint Case No. 54 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

sharun/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

