

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51433 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-351 Year-2019 Thana- SONO District- Jamui

-
1. Pinki Devi W/O Sakendra Yadav R/O Village- Bhelwa Mohanpur, P.S- Sono, Distt.- Jamui.
 2. Sakendra Yadav @ Sikendra Yadav S/O Madhusudan Yadav @ Masudan Yadav R/O Village- Bhelwa Mohanpur, P.S- Sono, Distt.- Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Ram Sumiran Rai, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ram Bilash Roy Raman, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 20-08-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 304B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, informant alleged that marriage of her daughter was solemnized with co-accused Bhagirath Yadav four years ago and after marriage, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, committed torture and harassment with the victim for bringing insufficient dowry. It is further alleged that on 18.11.2019, at around 8 PM, all the accused persons, including these petitioners, assaulted



daughter of informant and set her ablaze as a result of which, during course of treatment, the victim died.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case merely because Petitioner No. 1 happens to be *Gotni* (sister-in-law) and Petitioner No. 2 happens to be brother-in-law of the deceased. Petitioners are separate in mess and property and have got no concern with the affairs of the deceased and her husband. As a matter of fact, on the alleged date and time of occurrence, a quarrel took place between the deceased and her husband and in anger, the deceased herself tried to set her ablaze and when husband of the deceased tried to douse the fire, in the process, he also got injured and thereafter, the deceased was taken to a private hospital from where she was referred to P.M.C.H. and during course of treatment, she died on 25.11.2025. It is further submitted that the present F.I.R. has been lodged after inordinate delay of seven days and there is no plausible explanation for the same. Police, after due investigation, submitted final form against these petitioners. Thrust of accusation is against husband of deceased who has already been granted regular bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this



Hon'ble Court vide order dated 06.01.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 21141 of 2022. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation, delay in lodging of the F.I.R. and clean antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M., Jamui in connection with Sono P.S. Case No. 351 of 2019, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

