

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12246 of 2025

Guddu Kumar Singh Son of Dhirendra Narayan Singh, Resident of Panchgachhia, Ward No.- 09 P.O.- Panchgachhia, P.S.- Bihra, District- Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The State Project Director, Bihar Education Project Council, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Managing Director, BSEIDC (Bihar State Education Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd. Patna).
5. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
6. The Chief Engineer, BSEID, Patna.
7. The District Magistrate cum Chairman, Bihar Education Project, Saharsa.
8. The Superintendent of Police, Saharsa.
9. The District Education Officer, Saharsa, District- Saharsa.
10. The Executive Engineer, BSEID Saharsa.
11. The District Program Officer (Planning and Account), Saharsa.
12. The Assistant Engineer BSEID, Saharsa namely Jai Prakash Ranjan.
13. The Junior Engineer BSEID, Saharsa namely Deepak Kumar Rai.
14. The Junior Engineer BSEID, Saharsa namely Rohit Kumar.
15. The Junior Engineer BSEID, Saharsa namely Nandan Kumar.
16. The Junior Engineer BSEID, Saharsa namely Rahul Kumar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anirudh Mishra, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. P.K. Shahi, AG
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Girijish Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Akash Anand, Advocate



**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

4 13-11-2025 The present writ application has been filed for the
following reliefs:

“i) To issue an appropriate writ order or direction to institutive high level inquiry committee to inquire into the mass/large scale bungling, fraud and financial embezzlement of crores amount of Bihar government fund in the work done under the Bihar education project Saharsa.

ii) To issue further appropriate writ, order, or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondent authority to take immediate action against the wrong doers especially against respondent no. 12 (Assistant Engineer) and respondent no.- 13 (Junior Engineer) in view of enquiry report and order passed by District grievance Redressal officer Saharsa.

iii) To issue further appropriate writ/writs, order or direction to fix the liability of embezzlement over the wrong doers especially against Respondent no.-12 & 13 and also contractor and recover the defalcated amount.

iv) To issue appropriate writ order or direction to the respondent Authority to provide appropriate protection to respondent no.- 14,15, 16 who are Junior Engineer and conducted the enquiry and submitted the report and due to which they were humiliated and subjected to various kind of the troubles.

v) This Hon’ble Court may adjudicate and hold that it was the duty of respondent higher authority to act as per inquiry report and take action against Respondent no. -12&13 and the contractors.

vi) To issue appropriate writ, order of direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondent higher authority to consider the grievance



of petitioners mentioned in the application dated-13.6.2025.

vii) To award any other relief or reliefs for which the petitioner may be found entitled to in the fact and circumstances of the case.”

2. Though allegations have been made regarding misappropriation of public funds, no material or document has been brought on record to substantiate the same. From the records, it further appears that the present Public Interest Litigation has been filed on account of personal disputes rather than in genuine public interest.

3. We, therefore, find no merit in the present writ application, and accordingly, the same stands dismissed.

4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, ACJ)

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Anushka/-

U			
---	--	--	--

